Guide	e for F	Review of	f Local Gov	vernment Cit	tizen Part	icipatio	on Plan Pe	rforma	nce	
Name of	f Prog	ram Par	ticipant:							
Staff Co	nsulte	ed:								
D / DI	***	A 1	1 T //							
Date Pla	ın Wa	s Adopte	ed or Last A	Amended:						
Name(s) Reviewe						Date:				
Instruct performa Participa Exhibit i Amendm Performa Followin	the rec unders addres result ions: ance to tion P s dividents; ance R ng the	quirement) standing the sed, could in a "conc". This Exh o ascertain lan as receded into s Developments; P	do not addrone participant of result in deferm being ratibit is design whether the quired at 24 even section ment of the form	All other quesess requirement's program monitorient performatised, but not a gened to review the unit of location CFR 91.105 ns: Development Consolidated plan.	nts, but are ore fully and nance. Neg a "finding." we the progral government of the Corment of the Plan; Corment Corme	included d/or to identify gative contractions ram parties in nent is in nent is in neolidate e Citize neolidate	d to assist the dentify issue neclusions to ticipant's confollowing itsed Plan regular Participal ed Plan An	e review es that, if these qualitizen p ts Citize gulations tion Pla nendmen	rer in f not propuestions in articipate s. The n and A nts;	perl may tion
	ELOF	PMENT O IENTS	OF THE CIT	ΓIZEN PART	ΓΙCIPATIO	ON PLA	AN AND A	<u>any</u>		
Did o Partic [24 O	cipatio	on Plan oi 1.105(a)(.	r on any sub 3)]	y to comment ostantial amer			Citizen		Yes	No
Desc	ribe E	Basis for	Conclusion	1:						

19-1 09/2005

2.			
	Did the local government provide the Citizen Participation Plan in a format		
	accessible to persons with disabilities, upon request (e.g., providing an oral,		
	Braille, electronic, or large print copy for the visually impaired; or delivering a	Yes	No
	copy to a homebound person)? [Note: If the Plan is available online, its format		
	must be compatible with web readers for the visually impaired, as required by		
	24 CFR Part 8.]		
	[24 CFR 91.105(a)(3)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
3.			
	Was the opportunity provided to comment on the original Citizen Participation		
	Plan or on a substantial amendment to this Plan not clearly unreasonable? (A	Yes	No
	"no" conclusion would be the basis of the finding that the local government did	163	140
	not provide a reasonable opportunity to comment.)		
	[24 CFR 91.105(a)(3)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe dasis for Conclusion:		
4.			
	Was the Citizen Participation Plan made available to the public?		
	[24 CFR 91.105(a)(3)]		
	[2+011(31.103(u)(3)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

B. <u>DEVELOPMENT OF THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN</u>

5.				
	Before the local government adopted its Consolidated Plan, did the local			
	government make available (in accordance with its Citizen Participation Plan) to citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties information that included:	Ye	es	No
	• the amount of assistance the local government expected to receive (including grant funds and program income);			
	 the range of activities that may be undertaken; 			
	the estimated amount of funding that will benefit persons of low- and moderate-income;			
	• the local government's plans to minimize displacement of persons and to assist any persons displaced, specifying the types and levels of assistance			
	the local government will make available (or require others to make available) to persons displaced, even if the local government expects no			
	displacement to occur; and			
	• when and how the local government will make this information available? Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
6.				
	Did the local government publish the proposed Consolidated Plan in accordance			Ш
	with the Citizen Participation Plan and in a manner that afforded citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties a reasonable opportunity to examine its	Ye	es	No
	contents and to submit comments?			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

19-3 09/2005

7.				
	 If Citizen Participation Plan states the local government will publish a summary of the proposed Consolidated Plan, does the summary include: a description of the contents and purpose of the Consolidated Plan; and a list of the locations where copies of the entire proposed Consolidated Plan may be examined? 	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		
_				
8.				
	Did the local government provide a reasonable number of free copies of the Consolidated Plan to citizens and groups that requested it?		Ш	Ш
			Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
9.				
	Did the local government hold at least one public hearing (as set forth in its			
	Citizen Participation Plan) during the development of the Consolidated Plan	?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	Describe Busis for Concrusion.			

- 1	\sim	
- 1	()	
_ 1	v	

	Did the local government provide the period specified in its Citizen		
	Participation Plan (of not less than 30 days) to receive comments from citizens	Yes	No
	on the Consolidated Plan?	1 00	
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
11.			
	Did the local government consider any comments or views of citizens received		
	in writing, or orally at the public hearings, in preparing the final Consolidated	Yes	No
	Plan?		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
12.			
	Did the local government take the actions described in the Citizen Participation		
	Plan to encourage participation by residents of public and assisted housing and	Yes	No
	by other low- income residents of targeted revitalization areas in which public		
	and assisted housing is located in developing and implementing the		
	Consolidated Plan?		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

19-5 09/2005

13.				
	Did the local government take the actions described in the Citizen Participati	on		
	Plan to provide for and encourage citizens to participate in the development of		Yes	No
	the Consolidated Plan?		103	110
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
C.	CONSOLIDATED PLAN AMENDMENTS			
14.				
	For each Consolidated Plan amendment, did the local government apply the	П		
	criteria described in the Citizen Participation Plan to determine what			
	changes in the local government's planned or actual activities constitute a	Yes	No	N/A
	substantial amendment to the Consolidated Plan?			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
15.				
	a. Were citizens given notice and an opportunity to comment on substantial		\Box	
	amendments to the Consolidated Plan in accordance with the Citizen			
	Participation Plan?	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	b. Did the local government provide the period specified in its Citizen			
	Participation Plan (of not less than 30 days) to receive comments on any	Yes	No.	N/A
	substantial amendments to the Consolidated Plan before such	165	NO	N/A
	amendments were implemented?			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

16.				
	Did the local government consider any comments or views of citizens			
	received in writing, or orally at the public hearings, in preparing substantial	Yes	No	N/A
	amendments to the Consolidated Plan? Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
17.				
	Did the local government take the actions described in the Citizen			
	Participation Plan to provide for and encourage citizens to participate in the	Yes	No	N/A
	development of any substantial amendments to the Consolidated Plan?			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
D.	PERFORMANCE REPORTS			
18.				
	Did the local government take the actions described in the Citizen Participati	on		
	Plan to give citizens notice and an opportunity to comment on performance		Yes	No
	reports?			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

19-7 09/2005

19.			
	Did the local government provide a period of not less than 15 days to receive		
	comments on performance reports before the reports were submitted to HUD?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
20.			
	Did the local government consider any comments or views of citizens received		
	in writing, or orally at the public hearings, in preparing the performance report?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
21.			
	Did the local government take the actions described in the Citizen Participation		
	Plan to provide for and encourage citizens to participate in the development of	Yes	No
	the performance report?		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

E. <u>PUBLIC HEARINGS</u> 22.

fort pro	d the local government hold two or more public hearings per year (as set th in its Citizen Participation Plan) to obtain citizens' views and to respond to posals and questions?	Yes	N
Des	scribe Basis for Conclusion:		
a.	Were the hearings conducted at a minimum of two different stages of the program year?	Yes	
Des	scribe Basis for Conclusion:		
b.	Was at least one of these hearings held before the proposed Consolidated		Ī
	Plan was published for comment?	Yes	ľ

19-9 09/2005

Did the hearings, together, address:		
a) housing and community development needs,	Yes	No
b) development of proposed activities, and		
c) review of program performance?		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
Did the least covernment take the actions described in the Citizen Portionation		
Did the local government take the actions described in the Citizen Participation		
Plan to provide adequate notice to citizens of each hearing?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
Did the local government publish sufficient information about the subject of		
each hearing to permit informed comment? (Note: Publishing small print notices	Yes	No
in the newspaper a few days before the hearing does not constitute adequate		
notice. Although HUD does not specify the length of notice required, two weeks		
is considered adequate.)		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

27.			
	a. Were hearings held at times and locations convenient to potential and actual beneficiaries?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>	
	1		
	b. Were hearings held with accommodation for persons with disabilities?		LI No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No
	Describe dasis for Conclusion.		
28.			
	Did the local government meet the needs of non-English speaking residents in the case of public hearings where a significant number of non-English speaking		
	residents were expected to participate?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
F. 29.	MISCELLANEOUS CITIZEN PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS		
∠J.	Did the local government provide (as set forth in its Citizen Participation Plan)		
	citizens with reasonable and timely access to local meetings?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

19-11 09/2005

30.			
	Did the local government make the Consolidated Plan, as adopted, substantial amendments, and the performance report available to the public, including the availability of materials in a form accessible to persons with disabilities, upon request?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
31.			
	Did the local government provide(as set forth in its Citizen Participation Plan) citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with reasonable and timely access to information and records relating to the local government's Consolidated Plan and the local government's use of assistance under the programs covered in the Consolidated Plan during the preceding five years?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
32.			
	Did the local government provide (as set forth in its Citizen Participation Plan) technical assistance to groups representative of persons of low- and moderate-income that requested help in developing proposals for funding assistance under any of the programs covered by the Consolidated Plan? (Note: The assistance need not include the provision of funds to the groups.)	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

33.				
	Did the local government follow the procedures described in the Citizen			
	Participation Plan to handle complaints from citizens related to the Consolidate	ted	Yes	No
	Plan, amendments, and the performance report? Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
34.				
	For the CDBG program only, did the local government respond to written			
	citizen complaints "within 15 working days, where practicable?"	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
\sim	EOLLOWING THE CITIZEN DADTICIDATION DLAN			
G. 35.	FOLLOWING THE CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PLAN			
JJ.	Based on the review documented above, did the local government follow its		П	П
	Citizen Participation Plan?		Yes	No
	[24 CFR 91.105(k)]		165	NO
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

19-13 09/2005